

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/989,796		11/20/2001	Michael Y. Zhang	ACSC 59931 (1987D)	1816
24201	7590	08/15/2006		EXAM	INER
FULWIDE			BUI, VY Q		
6060 CENTI 10TH FLOO		Ë	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90045				3734	
				DATE MAILED: 08/15/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	09/989,796	ZHANG ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
_	Vy Q. Bui	3734						
The MAILING DATE of this communication	<u> </u>							
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may note that the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	<u>3 June 2006</u> .							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice und	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 26 and 28-37 is/are pending in the	Claim(s) <u>26 and 28-37</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26 and 28-37</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>26 and 28-37</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date	3/08) 5)	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 26, 28-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

As asserted by the Applicants (see Remarks entered 6/13/2006, last paragraph of page 6 to continued paragraph on page 7), claim 26 requires that the outer diameter of the claimed balloon at a nominal inflated pressure is less than the inner diameter of the balloon mold. However, there is insufficient disclosure in the specification to support this assertion. Indication of the support in the written specification for this assertion is required.

Lack of a clear definition of the shrinkage percentage of the balloon in the present invention, it is reasonable to consider the balloon of Wang-'383 has the same shrinkage percentage as required by independent claim 26 and the previous rejection still applicable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 26, 28-29, 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WANG et al. (5,556,383).

WANG (examples 1-2, table 1, for example) discloses balloons (examples 1-2, lines 1-2 of table 1) having a radial distention % or shrinkage % less than 10% as measured by a difference from the inflated outer diameters of the balloons/nominal diameters (about 2.25mm in example 1: col. 5, lines 50-56, and about 3mm in example 2: col. 6, lines 26-36) and the inner diameters of the molds/nominal outside diameters of the balloons at an ambient temperature (about 2.25mm in example 1: col. 5, lines 50-56, and about 3mm in example 2: col. 6, lines 26-36) when inflated to a nominal pressure (88 psi/6 atm).

In addition, Wang-'383 (col. 1, lines 41-45) discloses "non-compliant" balloons which are least elastic having a diameter change in a range of 2%-7% as the balloons are pressurized from a pressure from 6atm to 12 atm. It is clear that the well-known inelastic balloons are very dimensional stable and meet the requirement of a balloon as recited in the claims.

For a product claim, a claimed product cannot be patentable over another product having the same structural limitations even though the two products are created by two different processes. It is noted that there is no substantial difference in structural limitation between the claimed balloon and the balloon disclosed by Wang-'383.

In addition, when a Wang-'383 balloon is molded in the mold at a temperature Tm higher than an ambient temperature Ta to have an outer diameter equal to the outer diameter of the mold, naturally, then the balloon is inflated to a nominal pressure at the ambient temperature Ta lower than Tm. Because of the decrease in temperature (Tm-Ta), there must be a positive shrinkage percentage of the outer diameter of the balloon due to the decrease in temperature (Tm-Ta).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 30-33, 36-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WANG et al. (5,556,383).

As to claims 30-32, WANG (example 1 and table 1) discloses a polymeric balloon having substantial all structural limitation as recited in the claims, except for the axial growth is less than 6% or 10%. However, WANG discloses radial shrinkage less than 6% in a radial direction and the material of the balloon is substantially homogenous, therefore, it would be reasonable to expect the growth percentage in a same order to the radial shrinkage or less than 6% or 10%.

As to claim 33, WANG (example 1 and table 1) discloses a polymeric balloon of pebax (col. 5, lines 51) having substantial all structural limitation as recited in the claims, except for the balloon is made at least in part of a polyurethane. However, pebax and polyurethane are well-

known low compliant polymeric materials suitable for manufacturing balloon in a balloon catheter. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have polyurethane as a material to make WANG balloon, as this configuration would guarantee a low compliant balloon with low shrinkage percentage.

As to claims 36-37, WANG (example 1 and table 1) discloses a polymeric balloon of pebax (col. 5, lines 51) having substantial all structural limitation as recited in the claims, except for the balloon thickness in the range as claimed. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to make WANG balloon having a thickness in the range as recited in the claims for other applications requiring balloons with bigger sizes.

Response to Amendment

Without a support in the written specification that the outer diameter of the claimed balloon at a nominal inflated pressure is less than the inner diameter of the balloon mold, claim 26 is not clearly defined over Wang-'383 and the previous rejection is still applicable.

In addition, because there is a shrinkage of Wang-'383 balloon due to the decrease in temperature (Tm-Ta) as indicated above, Wang-'383 inflated balloon at a nominal pressure must have a shrinkage in the outer diameter as asserted by the Applicant.

Art Unit: 3734

Connclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vy Q. Bui whose telephone number is 571-272-4692. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday and Thursday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hayes can be reached on 571-272-4959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vy Q. Bui

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3734

08/09/2006